

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,052	11/17/2003	Rudolf Josef Moosburger	000470.00008 . 1134		
22907 BANNER & W	7590 07/06/2007 /ITCOFF LTD	EXAMINER			
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W.			PATEL, SHAMBHAVI K		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2128		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			07/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/713,052	MOOSBURGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shambhavi Patel	2128		

Be	erore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Shambhavi Patel	2128					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE RE	HE REPLY FILED 21 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. ∐ The this pla a F	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause				
	They raise new issues that would require further co							
` ,	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,					
(c)	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. 🔲 Tr	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. 🔯 Aı	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>35 U.S.C. 101</u> .						
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
ho [.] Th	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Cla	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:	·						
	aim(s) rejected: <u>1-53</u> . aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar is not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attack	hed.				
<u>s</u>	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Office Action dated 06 April 2007 stated that the test design of the prior art was equivalent to the simulation system model as claimed. Applicant submits "this alleged correspondence is approximate at best, because the test design is only usable in conjuction with the scripts provided for simulation and synthesis." The Examiner notes that the claim does not necessarily exclude the use of simulation scripts along with the created simulation file. The claim does not necessitate the use of a "self contained content file. Reutter teaches reading the simulation content file and providing the simulation content file to an end-user computer system in section 4.1. In section 3, Reutter discloses that "checked data" may not be altered. This does not indicate that downloaded data can be altered, only that verifications can be performed. Thus, the user may not modify the simulation model in any way (i.e. they cannot add or remove components, subsystem models, or interconnections since the data is protected)..

KAMINI SHAH